



REPORT TO THE BELOIT LANDMARKS COMMISSION

Meeting Date: December 15, 2009 Agenda Item: 4 File Number: COA-2009-43

& Jeanette Henry

Existing Zoning: R-1B, Single-Family Existing Land Use: Two-Family Parcel Size: .12 Acre

Residential District Dwelling (Nonconforming)

Request Overview/Background Information:

Jerry Avakian has submitted a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) Application to construct a roof above a rear porch for the property located at 749 Park Avenue. Section 32.06 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance grants the Landmarks Commission the authority to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness prior to the alteration of structures within a Historic District.

Consistency with the Historic Preservation Ordinance and Strategic Plan:

Consideration of this request is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Historic Preservation Ordinance. Consideration of this request supports City of Beloit Strategic Goal #4.

Key Issues:

- This project was started without a COA and without a Building Permit. A photo of the roof is attached to this report.
- Staff notified the applicant of the violation on October 29, 2009, and the applicant submitted the COA application on November 6, 2009.
- The subject property is located on the southeastern corner of the intersection of Park Avenue and Emerson Street.

 The porch is visible from Emerson Street.
- The Housing Services Division has issued Orders to paint all of the porches on the subject property.
- During the Beloit Intensive Survey, the house was classified as a 'contributing' structure within the College Park Historic District. A copy of the Intensive Survey Form is attached to this report.
- Section 32.06(5) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance includes general review criteria to be used when evaluating COA applications. The attached COA Checklist evaluates this application against the general review criteria included in the Ordinance.
- Section 32.06(5) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance establishes Specific Review Criteria to be used in evaluating COA applications. The following criteria are relevant to this application:
 - 1. <u>Height</u>: The height of any proposed alteration or construction should be compatible with the style and character of the landmark and with surrounding structures in the historic district.

The height of the porch roof is compatible with the style and character of the structure and surrounding structures.

2. <u>Roof shape</u>: The design of the roof should first be compatible with the architectural style and character of the landmark itself and then, if necessary, with other surrounding structures in the historic district.

The shape of the roof is compatible with the style and character of the structure. The slope of the porch roof is approximately equal to the slope of the roof above the other porch on the rear of the structure.

3. <u>Architectural Details</u>: Architectural details, including materials, colors and textures, should be treated so as to make a landmark compatible with the original architectural style or character of the landmark in the historic district.

The materials are compatible with the character of the structure.

- Section 32.06(6) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that the Landmarks Commission may grant a COA if it determines:
 - (a) In the case of a landmark or landmark site, the proposed work would not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any exterior architectural feature of the improvement upon which the work is to be done.

- (b) In the case of the construction of a new improvement upon a landmark site, the exterior of such improvement would not adversely affect or would harmonize with the external appearance of other improvements on such site.
- (c) In the case of any property located in an historic district, the proposed construction, reconstruction, or exterior alteration conforms to the objectives and design criteria of the historic preservation plan for the City and district.
- Staff believes that the proposed actions, subject to certain conditions, satisfy the standards of Section 32.06(6)(c).

Sustainability: (Briefly comment on the sustainable long term impact of this policy or program related to how it will impact both the built and natural environment utilizing the four following eco-municipality guidelines)

- Reduce dependence upon fossil fuels The preservation and enhancement of historic structures reduces
 dependence upon fossil fuels by capitalizing on the embodied energy that is present in these structures. Our historic
 districts are compact, walkable neighborhoods that were developed when walking was a primary mode of travel.
- Reduce dependence on chemicals and other manufacturing substances that accumulate in nature N/A
- Reduce dependence on activities that harm life sustaining eco-systems N/A
- Meet the hierarchy of present and future human needs fairly and efficiently N/A

Staff Recommendation:

The Neighborhood Planning Division recommends <u>approval</u> of a Certificate of Appropriateness to construct a roof above a rear porch for the property located at 749 Park Avenue, based on the standards and criteria contained in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The porch roof and columns shall be painted when the remainder of the porch is painted.
- 2. All work shall be completed by May 1, 2010.
- 3. Any changes or additions to this Certificate of Appropriateness must be reviewed and approved by staff prior to the start of any work. Work done without prior approval will be subject to the issuance of correction orders or citations.
- 4. The applicant must obtain all necessary building permits. The completion date above does not extend any other time limits, such as those imposed by an order from Housing Services.

Fiscal Note/Budget Impact: N/A

Attachments: Photo, Intensive Survey Form, and COA Checklist.



| | toric Preserva | tion D | ivision Stat | e Historic | al Soc | iety of | Wiscons | sin |
|--|--|--------|--|---------------|---------|----------|---------|-------------------------|
| City, Village or Town: | County: | | Surveyor: | - 1 | | Date: | | P _a |
| ROCK | | | Richard P. Hartung July, 1981 | | | | | Park |
| Street Address: | | | Legal Description: Acreage: | | | | | ; |
| 749 Park ' | | | | | | | | |
| Current Name & Use: | | | Current Owner: | | | | | |
| residence | | | | | | | | |
| Film Roll No. | | š | Current Owner's | Address: | | | , | |
| RO-116 | | | | | | ······ | | |
| Negative No. | Special Features Not Visible In Photographs: | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | */ | | 749 |
| Facade Orient. | | | , | | | | , | |
| ₩ | | | 1 | nterior visi | ted? | OYes Q |) No | |
| Original Name & Use: | | Source | Previous Owners | Dates | Uses | - | Source | ; |
| Z | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Dates of Construction /Alteration | | Source | | | | | | |
| c. 1870 | The state of the s | A | | | | | | |
| Architect and/or Builder: | | Source | | | | | | 80 |
| | | | * | | | | | |
| Represents work of a master Possesses high artistic values Represents a type, period, or method of construction Is a visual landmark in the area Other: A Victorian vernacular frame cottage, with L-shape plan and gabled roofs. A front porch extends along the length of the side wing. The clapboard is unornamented and the windows are surrounded by simple trim and architraves. | | | Assoc. with lives of significant persons | | | | | Near East Side Historic |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A. Al | | & Pannagantati | on in Provide | ne Surr | evs | | District |
| 5 Sources of Information (Reference to Above) A Visual estimate of surveyor | | | 6 Representation in Previous Surveys OHABS ONAER OWIHP ONRHP Olandmark | | | | | |
| | - | | Oother: Condition | - | | | | |
| В | | | Oexcellent Ogood Ofair Opoor Oruins | | | | | |
| _ | | | 8 District: Near East Side Historic Dist. | | | | | M. |
| <u>C</u> | | | Opivotal &contributing Onon-contributing | | | | | NES 1 |
| D | initials: R date: 8/1/91 | | | | | | | |
| | 9 Opinion of National Register Eligibility | | | | | 116/27 | | |
| <u>E</u> | ⊗eligible Onot eligible Ounknown | | | | | | | |
| F | | | Onational C | state O1 | ocal | initials | · R | |
| r | | | II | | | HP-02- | -1" | • |

CITY of BELOIT

Neighborhood Planning Division 100 State Street, Beloit, WI 53511 Phone: (608) 364-6700 Fa

Fax: (608) 364-6609

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CHECKLIST

For property located at: 749 Park Avenue

| GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA | YES | NO | N/A |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| Has every reasonable effort been made to provide a compatible use for a property that requires alteration for use other than for its originally intended purpose? | | | X |
| Will the applicant retain distinguishing original qualities or character of a building, structure or site? The removal or alteration of any historic material or distinctive architectural features should be avoided when possible. | X | | |
| Is the applicant proposing alterations that have a historical basis, rather than trying to create an earlier or later appearance? | X | | |
| Has the applicant recognized and respected changes in the development of a building over time that may have acquired significance in their own right? | | | X |
| Has the applicant treated with sensitivity distinctive stylistic features, or examples of skilled craftsmanship that characterize a building, structure or site? | X | | |
| Has the applicant repaired, rather than replaced, deteriorated architectural features, wherever possible? If replacement is necessary, the new material should match the material being replaced in composition, design color, texture and other visual qualities. | | | X |
| Has the applicant avoided sandblasting and other cleaning methods that will damage the surface of the historic building? | | | X |
| Has the applicant made every possible effort to protect and preserve archeological resources affected by or adjacent to any project? | | | X |
| If a contemporary design for alterations and additions is proposed, does this design retain significant historical, architectural or cultural material and is the design compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the property and neighborhood? | X | | |
| Are new additions or alterations to buildings done in such a manner that if such additions or alterations were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the building would be unimpaired? | X | | |