

CITY OF BELOIT REPORT TO THE BELOIT LANDMARKS COMMISSION

Meeting Date: December 20, 2011	Agenda Item: 4				File Number: COA-2011-30		
Applicant: Joy Beckman	Owner: Jo	oy Beck	man		Location: 703 Park Avenue		
Existing Zoning: R-1B, Single-Family Residential District	Existing Dwelling	Land	Use:	Single-Family	Parcel Size: 0.3439 Acre		

Request Overview/Background Information:

Joy Beckman has submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) to restore the terrace facing Chapin Street for the property located at 703 Park Avenue. The subject property is located on a corner lot in the College Park Historic District at the intersection of Park Avenue and Chapin Street. The property is classified as a pivotal site within the College Park Historic District due to its association with historical figure, Serno T. Merrill and its architectural significance. Section 32.06 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance grants the Landmarks Commission the authority to issue a COA prior to the alteration of structures within a Historic District.

Consistency with the Historic Preservation Ordinance and Strategic Plan:

Consideration of this request is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Historic Preservation Ordinance. Consideration of this request supports City of Beloit Strategic Goal #4.

Key Issues:

- The house was built in 1869 at the intersection of Park Avenue and Chapin Street. It was owned by Sereno T. Merrill, one of Beloit's early industrialists and was classified as a "pivotal" structure within the College Park Historic District during the Beloit Intensive Survey of 1981. See the attached Intensive Survey Form for more detailed information.
- A wooden stairway, not original to the structure, currently exists on the south side of the property that faces Chapin Street.
- The wooden stairway was installed in the 1970s before the City established its Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Landmarks Commission in 1989.
- The applicant has mentioned in her attachments that the original concrete terrace was constructed in the 1930s when an addition was added to the structure.
- Restoring the terrace will bring back the functionality of the dining room door that is currently inaccessible with the existing wooden stairway (see Figures 1 & 2).
- Restoring the terrace will also bring back the original appearance of the building.
- In addition to the proposed terrace, the applicant has proposed to add two concrete garden planter boxes at the base of the terrace in order to enhance the appearance of the property. The garden planter boxes will also be faced with limestone to match the foundation.
- Within the provisions of Section 32.06(5) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance the two concrete garden planter boxes are classified as "hardscape landscaping" which requires specific review and approval by the Landmarks Commission because of their location in a front yard.
- The applicant has proposed to remove the wooden stairway, and restore the concrete terrace in two-phases.
- Phase 1 will occur between the winter and spring of 2012, and consist of:
 - Removal of the wooden stairway
 - Construction of concrete terrace and stairs
 - The proposed concrete terrace will be 14 feet and 4 inches wide and 7 feet deep. The inset stairway will be 4 feet wide. The height of the proposed concrete terrace will be 2 feet and 6 inches high. The landing of the concrete terrace will be stamped with an imprint of flagstone pavers (see Figure 4 for example of imprint).
 - The terrace will be faced with limestone obtained from a demolished barn in the Town of Beloit that is consistent with the limestone foundation (see Figure7)
- Phase 2 will occur between the summer and autumn of 2012, and consist of:
 - Constructing two concrete garden planter boxes at the base of the newly constructed terrace. The intent
 is to create a cascading look and feel to the terrace desired by the applicant. The planter boxes will be
 constructed from the same material as the terrace, and will be located on each side of the inset stairway

at the base of the terrace (see Figure 6).

- The two garden planter boxes will be 1 foot high and 1 foot and 5 inches wide.
- The two garden planter boxes will be faced with limestone to match the terrace.
- Section 32.06(5)(a) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance includes general review criteria to be used when evaluating COA applications:
 - The attached COA Checklist evaluates this application against the general review criteria included in the Ordinance. Only four of the ten review criteria apply in this case, and the applicant has satisfactorily complied with all four.
- Section 32.06(5)(b) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance establishes Specific Review Criteria to be used in evaluating COA applications. The following criteria are relevant to this application:
 - (1) <u>Landscaping</u>: Compatibility. Landscaping should first be compatible with the architectural character and appearance of the landmark itself and then, if necessary, with other surrounding structures and landscapes in the historic district.
 - The two concrete garden planter boxes as proposed would be compatible with the architectural character and appearance of the landmark itself. The applicant has proposed to face the front of the garden boxes with limestone to match the foundation of the house.
 - (2) <u>Architectural Details</u>: Architectural details, including materials, colors and textures, should be treated so as to make a landmark compatible with the original architectural style or character of the landmark in the historic district.
 - The proposed terrace will consist of concrete and limestone that is similar to that of the structure's foundation. The proposed design and materials are compatible with the character of the house and the historic district.
- Section 32.06(6) of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that the Landmarks Commission may grant a COA if it determines:
 - (a) In the case of a landmark or landmark site, the proposed work would not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any exterior architectural feature of the improvement upon which the work is to be done.
 - (b) In the case of the construction of a new improvement upon a landmark site, the exterior of such improvement would not adversely affect or would harmonize with the external appearance of other improvements on such site.
 - (c) In the case of any property located in an historic district, the proposed construction, reconstruction, or exterior alteration conforms to the objectives and design criteria of the historic preservation plan for the City and district.
- Staff believes that the proposed actions, subject to certain conditions, satisfy the standards of Section 32.06(6)(c).

Sustainability: (Briefly comment on the sustainable long term impact of this policy or program related to how it will impact both the built and natural environment utilizing the four following eco-municipality guidelines)

- Reduce dependence upon fossil fuels The preservation and enhancement of historic structures reduces dependence upon fossil fuels by capitalizing on the embodied energy that is present in these structures. Our historic districts are compact, walkable neighborhoods that were developed when walking was a primary mode of travel.
- Reduce dependence on chemicals and other manufacturing substances that accumulate in nature N/A
- Reduce dependence on activities that harm life sustaining eco-systems N/A
- Meet the hierarchy of present and future human needs fairly and efficiently N/A

Staff Recommendation:

The Neighborhood Planning Division recommends <u>approval</u> of a Certificate of Appropriateness to construct a terrace with garden box planters for the property located at 703 Park Avenue, based on the standards and criteria contained in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant shall construct the terrace that consists of the design and materials specified in the application attachments.
- 2. The applicant shall install continuous handrails constructed of metal on both open sides of the stair flight pursuant to

§9.04 of the Building Code

- 3. All work shall be completed by December 21, 2012.
- 4. Any changes or additions to this Certificate of Appropriateness must be reviewed and approved by staff prior to the start of any work. Work done without prior approval will be subject to the issuance of correction orders or citations.
- 5. The applicant shall obtain a Building Permit before beginning any work. The completion date above does not extend any other time limits, such as those imposed by an order from the Housing Services Division.

Fiscal Note/Budget Impact: N/A

Attachments: COA Application, Location Map, Diagram Description and Photographs from applicant, Intensive Survey Form, and COA Checklist.

Nov. 23, 2011

	CITY of BELOIT
NEIGHBO	ORHOOD PLANNING DIVISION
100 State Street, Beloit, WI 5	3511 Phone: (608) 364-6700 Fax: (608) 364-6609
CERTIFICATE of	of APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION
	Col 2011 2
(Please Type or Print)	File Number: $COA - 2011 - 30$
1. Address of property:	703 Park Ave
2. Parcel #: 136701	15
3. Owner of record:	Bedeman Phone: 608-363-2097
703 Pack	Beloit WI
(Address) 4. Applicant's Name:	(City) (State) (Zip)
	Joy peckman
(Address)	(City) (State) (Zip)
363-2097	1952-412-7067 1 beckmani (a) beloit.edu
(Office Phone #)	(Cell Phone #) (E-mail Address)
5. Present use of property:	residential
6. The following action is req	juested:
_ Approval of COA by L	andmarks Commission (projects not listed below)
□ Approval of COA by s	taff: (Check all that apply)
[] Roof repair/replace	cement
[] Gutter repair/repl appropriate styles	acement with historically appropriate materials and in historically
	and driveway repair/replacement with historically appropriate ame dimensions, placement and orientation
[] Installation of his	toric plaques (residential properties only)
	nd tuckpointing according to the Secretary of the Interior nistorically appropriate color and design
Installation of fen	ces
[] Storm window/sto	orm door repair or replacement
[] Installation of gla	ss blocks in basement window openings

Please continue to #7 (Over)

Planning Form No. 32

Established: November 1993

(Revised: December 2008)

Page 1 of 2 Pages

CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION (continued)

7. Description of Project: Describe each item of the project separately.

Project item: Include existing condition(s) when describing item. Also describe the proposed work, material(s) to be used, and the impact the item would have on existing historic or architectural features of the property. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Remove	stars	and.	6. Id	terrace.
See att	1 0	A	·	
See att	ainid	desu	ption)

8. Attachments:

[__] Site Plan of the lot (Please indicate direction of north, dimensions, structures, etc.)

- Sketches, drawings, building and streetscape elevations, and/or annotated photos
- Exterior photos

] NHS

- [__] Specifications (materials) for the project
- [___] Phased development plan for the project (if proposed in phases)

] City of Beloit

- [__] Inspection report (required for demolition requests only)
- [__] Cost estimates for all the proposed work
- [__] Other (please explain): _____
- **9.** Source of Funds: Please indicate if funds for the project are being secured from any of the following sources:

] SHSW

NOTE:

The Beloit Landmarks Commission meets at 7:00 PM on the third Tuesday of the month. Meetings are held in The Forum of Beloit City Hall, 100 State Street. Applications must be filed with the Neighborhood Planning Division by the filing deadline date prior to the next Landmarks Commission meeting.

If you have questions or need assistance in completing this form, contact the City of Beloit Neighborhood Planning Division (364-6700).

Jana	1 Jou Beckman	1 ulachi
(Signature of applicant)	(Print name)	(Date)
Review fee: <u>\$45.00 / \$25.00 if s</u> Scheduled meeting date:	staff approved Amount paid:	\$ 50.00
Application accepted by: Mich	mel D. Lofton I Da	ate: 11/2/2011

Planning Form No. 32

Established: November 1993

(Revised: December 2008)

Page 2 of 2 Pages

] Federal



703 Park Avenue: Application for Modification to Chapin Street entrance

The proposed project would be to remove the 1970s stairway from the Chapin street entrance (fig. 1 & 2). The wooden stairs is neither original to the house nor appropriate as it does not replicate the original footing. A cement terrace with stairs would replace the wooden stairs and follow earlier cement footings that probably were added in the 1930s when an addition was put on the house. This would allow access to the house from both the kitchen door and the dining room door. Currently the dining room door is not accessible (see fig. 2) Similar terrace can be seen in a nearby house on Chapin Street (fig. 3). The terrace would be faced with limestone to fit appropriately with the original foundation limestone. The limestone is to be procured from a torn down barn located in the Beloit Township. This material has already been secured. An additional limestone wall approximately one foot high and approximately one and a half foot wide would be added around the terrace to hold plantings and create a stepped look to the terrace (fig.6). The top of the terrace would be stamped to give the appearance of flagstone pavers (fig.4)

The terrace would be 31" in height, just slightly lower than the height of the current wooden steps. The garden wall would be approximately 16" in height and about 16" wide. The stairs would be 4 feet wide, equal to the wide of the current steps. The entire terrace would be 14ft 4" wide and 7" deep.

Phase 1 (fig.5): Winter 2011- Spring 2012, depending on weather and schedule of the contractor The current wooden staircase would be removed. Concrete walls would be added. The terrace area would be filled in and a stamped concrete slab would be added. Concrete stairs would be poured.

Phase 2 (fig.6): Summer 2012- Fall 2012 Concrete wall would be faced with limestone. One foot limestone wall would be added to the front of the terrace wall.

Costs:

Phase 1: Concrete wall and slab: \$1200 Phase 2: Limestone façade: \$500 Limestone garden wall: \$200

> Diagram Description



Photographs and Sketches of Proposed Terrace



.

Sketch of Proposed Terrace



Figure 7: Limestone material



Figure 8: Proposed stairway rails submitted by applicant

Ci+y, Village or Town:	County:	Surveyor:		1 Society of Date:		T
BELOIT	ROCK	Richard P. I	Hartung	July,	1981	Park
Street Address:		Legal Descripti L. 16 & S. 4	ion: 1.5' of L. 15	Acreage:		- 7
703 Park Avenue Current Name & Use:		B. 18, Origin Current Owner:	nal Plat			-
Residence						
Film Ro ¹¹ No. RO-86 *RO-102 *RO-111		Current Owner's	s Address:			
Negative No.	「「と」書「	Special Feature	s Not Visible I	n Photographs:	~	
**25, 26 Facade Orient.				•		703
W			Interior visite	d? OYes (О No	
Original Name & Use:	Sour	ce Previous Owners	Dates	Uses -	Source	
Sereno T. Merrill Hous Dates of Construction /Alterat	ie Sour					TOWN
-						
1869 Architect and/or Builder:	Sour	e				Range
					+	ge
3 Architectural Significance Represents work of a master Possesses high artistic val Represents a type, period,	ues	Assoc. wi	th lives of sight the significant	historical eve		Section
S Is a visual landmark in the	area O None	O Other:	th development	of a locality	-	on
Architectural Statement:		Historical S				Ма
Finely-detailed and well-pr tacular frame house, built architecturally significant Italian villa style, rare i here with elegance and grac	in 1869 (A), is an example of the n Beloit but execute 2. Balancing clas-	largest indus	ly industria businessman, ion of some	lists. As a he was invo of Beloit's	n 1ved	Map Name Near Eas
sical forms in an asymmetric evokes the picturesque, the built in an L-shaped plan w rising three stories. The low-pitched gabled roof with	two story house is with a corner tower west section has a heavy cornice	Sereno Merril setts in 1816 machinist and "Merrill's go Merrill studio	 His father inventor who ose-necked ho 	r was a blac o patented oe" in 1814.	achu- ksmith,	ē
returns that suggest the peo forms of classical inspirat wing has a hip roof with pro	on, while the side	teacher and so	chool princip	Dal for many		Historic
5 Sources of Information (Reference	e to Above)	•	on in Previous :			Dis
A Beloit Tax Rolls, RCHS Ar	chives	O HABS O NAEI O other:	R ØWIHP OI	NRHP Olandma	ark	District
B Rock County History, 1908	, pp. 819-822		Sgood Ofair		uins	(†
C Book of Beloit, p. 206		B District: Ne	ear East Side	Historic D		Map Code NES
D		initials:		date:	a	Code S 8
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		tional Register			86/8
E		Øeligible O Onational O			-	

703 Park Avenue Architectural Statement (Continued)

Beneath the cornice, a wide and unornamented frieze provides a strong formal emphasis. A segmentally arched entry portico with entablature is supported by two unfluted Ionic columns and the door is surmounted by a segmentally arched transom. The sash windows--two-over-two on the upper stories, four-over-four on the first story--are surrounded by simple frames and capped by projecting flat windowheads, except on the top story of the tower where a rounded windowhead evokes Tuscan villa design. A rounded ventilator in the west gable repeats the motif. Narrow clapboarding provides a smooth finish high-lighting the classic simplicity of the design. The only significant alteration is the substitution of lacy wrought iron for the original porch posts.

Historic Statement (Continued)

He moved to Beloit in 1846 and became principal of the Beloit Seminary. He held his post until 1849 when Beloit Seminary was merged into Beloit College.

He then sought an occupation more suited to his strong interests in industry and inventing. In 1850-1851, he built the first paper mill on the Rock River at Rockton, Illinois, in partnership with T. L. Wright. During this time, the first strawboard for sheathing was made into rolls with an invention patented by Merrill. He was involved with milling on the Rock River for many years and was President of the Rock River Paper Company for 15 years.

In 1858, the O. E. Merrill Company was organized by three Merrill brothers, including Sereno, to produce spare parts for Sereno Merrill's paper-making machines at the Rock River Paper Company. This later became the Merrill & Houston Iron Works, of which Sereno Merrill was president for eight years. This company, as reorganized, eventually became the Beloit Corporation, a worldwide company, still producing paper making machines. (B)

In 1873, Sereno Merrill helped organize the Eclipse Windmill Company and was its president for six years. This company was the forerunner of Fairbanks, Morse & Company, another of Beloit's leading industries, manufacturer of engines. (C)

Other positions held by Sereno Merrill include: President of both the Citizen's National Bank and Beloit Savings Bank, Beloit alderman, state commissioner to the World's Exposition at Vienna in 1873, honorary Commissioner to World's Exposition at Paris in 1881, member of the Wisconsin Legislature in 1876 and 1877, member of the Rock County Board of Supervisors for eighteen years, and trustee for Beloit College for thirty-six years. (B). Perhaps no other individual was as significant in the development of Beloit as a manufacturing center.









<u>Pivotal</u> 703 Park

West

/sasa



North

Collige Park Historiz District





South

South-East



CITY of BELOIT Neighborhood Planning Division

100 State Street, Beloit, WI 53511 Phone: (608) 364-6700 Fax: (608) 364-6609

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CHECKLIST

For property located at: 703 Park Avenue

GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A
Has every reasonable effort been made to provide a compatible use for a property that requires alteration for use other than for its originally intended purpose?			X
Will the applicant retain distinguishing original qualities or character of a building, structure or site? The removal or alteration of any historic material or distinctive architectural features should be avoided when possible.	X		
Is the applicant proposing alterations that have a historical basis, rather than trying to create an earlier or later appearance?			X
Has the applicant recognized and respected changes in the development of a building over time that may have acquired significance in their own right?			X
Has the applicant treated with sensitivity distinctive stylistic features, or examples of skilled craftsmanship that characterize a building, structure or site?			X
Has the applicant repaired, rather than replaced, deteriorated architectural features, wherever possible? If replacement is necessary, the new material should match the material being replaced in composition, design color, texture and other visual qualities.	X		
Has the applicant avoided sandblasting and other cleaning methods that will damage the surface of the historic building?			X
Has the applicant made every possible effort to protect and preserve archeological resources affected by or adjacent to any project?			X
If a contemporary design for alterations and additions is proposed, does this design retain significant historical, architectural or cultural material and is the design compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the property and neighborhood?	X		
Are new additions or alterations to buildings done in such a manner that if such additions or alterations were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the building would be unimpaired?	X		